

Committee Draft ISO/IEC CD 11179-5	
Date: 2012-02-12	Reference number: ISO/JTC 1/SC 32 N2192
Supersedes document: n/a	

THIS DOCUMENT IS STILL UNDER STUDY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES.

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 Data Management and Interchange Secretariat: USA (ANSI)	Circulated to P- and O-members, and to technical committees and organizations in liaison for voting (P-members only) by: 2012-05-12 Please return all votes and comments in electronic form directly to the SC 32 Secretariat by the due date indicated.
---	---

ISO/IEC CD 11179-5:2012(E)
Title: Information Technology --Metadata Registries (MDR) - Part 5: Naming and identification principles, Ed 3
Project: 1.32.15.03.05.00

Introductory note:

The attached document is hereby submitted for a 3-month letter ballot to the NBs of ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32. The ballot starts 2012-02-12. This is a new 3rd edition authorized at Kona 2011-05-20. No disposition of comments. This is the initial CD.

Medium: E

No. of pages: 27

Dr. Timothy Schoechle, Secretary, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32
Farance Inc *, 3066 Sixth Street, Boulder, CO, United States of America
Telephone: +1 303-443-5490; E-mail: Timothy@Schoechle.org
available from the JTC 1/SC 32 WebSite <http://www.jtc1sc32.org/>
*Farance Inc. administers the ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 Secretariat on behalf of ANSI

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 N

Date: 2012-01-31

ISO/IEC CD 11179-5

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32/WG 2

Secretariat: ANSI

Information Technology — Metadata registries (MDR) — Part 5: Naming and identification principles

Technologies de l'information — Registries de metadonnees (RM) — Partie 5: Principes de denomination et d'identification

Warning

This document is not an ISO International Standard. It is distributed for review and comment. It is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an International Standard.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Document type: International Standard
Document subtype:
Document stage: (30) Committee
Document language: E

Copyright notice

This ISO document is a working draft or committee draft and is copyright-protected by ISO. While the reproduction of working drafts or committee drafts in any form for use by participants in the ISO standards development process is permitted without prior permission from ISO, neither this document nor any extract from it may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form for any other purpose without prior written permission from ISO.

Requests for permission to reproduce this document for the purpose of selling it should be addressed as shown below or to ISO's member body in the country of the requester:

[Indicate the full address, telephone number, fax number, telex number, and electronic mail address, as appropriate, of the Copyright Manger of the ISO member body responsible for the secretariat of the TC or SC within the framework of which the working document has been prepared.]

Reproduction for sales purposes may be subject to royalty payments or a licensing agreement.

Violators may be prosecuted.

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction.....	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	2
4 Names and identifiers within a registry	3
5 Names	4
6 Naming conventions	4
7 Classes in the metamodel	4
7.1 Namespace Class	4
7.1.1 Relationship of identifiers and names	4
7.1.2 Uniqueness	4
7.2 Naming convention class	5
8 Development of naming conventions	5
8.1 Introduction.....	5
8.2 Scope principle.....	6
8.3 Authority principle.....	6
8.4 Semantic principle.....	6
8.5 Syntactic principle.....	6
8.6 Lexical principle	6
8.7 Uniqueness principle	7
9 Concept system relationship to names	7
9.1 Concepts as higher-level classes.....	7
9.2 Concepts as classification system.....	7
Annex A (informative) Example naming conventions for names within an MDR registry	8
Annex B (informative) Example naming conventions for Asian languages	18

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/IEC 11179-5 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, JTC 1, Subcommittee SC 32, *Data Management and Interchange*.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition.

ISO/IEC 11179 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Information Technology — Metadata registries (MDR)*:

- *Part 1: Framework*
- *Part 2: Classification*
- *Part 3: Registry metamodel and basic attributes*
- *Part 4: Formulation of data definitions*
- *Part 5: Naming and identification principles*
- *Part 6: Registration*

Introduction

This part of ISO/IEC 11179 contains principles and rules. Principles establish the premises on which the rules are based. Registry users may enforce rules as an application of this standard.

A naming convention may be formed from principles, and in addition contain formal and informal inputs, such as guidelines, recommendations, company policies, programming conventions, specifications, procedures, and so on.

A naming convention is a convention (a set of rules) about names (signs or combinations of signs). Many naming conventions have much in common, whether it is defining a method of specifying names for common usage across application systems, or developing an organization's internal policy on the choice of XML tags for data interchange. The purpose of this Part of this International Standard is to describe and specify these common features of naming conventions. This Part of this International Standard is intended to have broad applicability, including areas *outside* of Metadata Registries.

The goal of any naming convention is to allow development of names for metadata items that have maximum clarity and transparency of meaning, combined with concision, demanding minimal effort of interpretation by the end user, subject to the constraints of the system under which the items are processed. A naming convention can be used to form names by which information about the data is expressed, in a simplified but still understandable grammar compared to natural language rules. Ideally, the names resemble summaries of the formal definition of the information being named.

In a metadata registry, one name may be designated as the "registry name," derived by describing the content of a metadata item in a structured way, using a set of rules, i.e., by application of a formalized naming convention. Other names for the same metadata entity may occur in any context. For example, these may be:

- Software system names
- Programming language names
- Report header names
- Data interchange (e.g., XML) names
- Names in other natural languages

They may have varying levels of rigor applied to their formation and usage. The collection and display of all names used by any single metadata item can be a major benefit of a metadata registry. The process of deriving names from concept systems and arranging semantic components with a naming convention forms a set of consistent, meaningful registry names. Names from other contexts, which may or may not have been formed with naming conventions, and therefore may have little or no semantic content, are collected and related to the registry name, thus contributing in a valuable way to enterprise data management.

Edition 3 of ISO/IEC 11179-3 uses the term *designation* in reference to most metamodel items except for the classes *Namespace* and *Naming Convention*. The designations for these classes were adopted in deference to commonly accepted usage. This Part will continue to use the term *name* for constructs that, for purposes of this Part, are interchangeable with *designation*.

Note: Items from the metamodel described in Edition 3 of ISO/IEC 11179-3 are italicized in this document. Most multi-word designations also contain underscores between words in ISO/IEC 11179-3; these have been omitted in this document for readability.

Information Technology — Metadata registries (MDR) — Part 5: Naming and identification principles

1 Scope

This part of ISO/IEC 11179 provides instruction for naming and identification of the following items: data element concept, conceptual domain, data element, and value domain. Identification is narrowly defined to encompass only the means to establish unique identification of these items within a register. It describes naming in an MDR; includes principles and rules by which naming conventions can be developed; and provides examples of naming conventions. The naming principles and rules described herein apply primarily to names of data element concepts, conceptual domains, data elements, and value domains. When "designated item" is used in this part of ISO/IEC 11179, it is understood to refer specifically to these four items. This part of ISO/IEC 11179 should be used in conjunction with those that establish rules and procedures for attributing, classifying, defining, and registering items.

In annex A, all of the examples are given with English terminologies. However, there is an intention that those rules be effective in other national languages, even in those languages that use ideographs such as Japanese, Chinese, or Korean, when the terminologies used in the name are controlled properly. Annex B contains a version of the rules for Asian languages.

It is out of scope of the naming rules to establish semantic equivalence of the naming among different languages. Naming must be supplemented by other methods such as ontologies or controlled vocabularies in establishing semantic equivalence.

This Part may be applied to Part 3 of this International Standard, i.e., describing naming conventions associated with designations of designated items and other features of the metamodel. The following are examples of designations in the metamodel: the designation of a data element (class name: Designation; attribute: sign); the designation of classification scheme name, etc.

This Part may be used for applications that are unrelated to Part 3, i.e., this International Standard has broad applicability for use in describing naming conventions.

This Part does not make requirements on any specific set of conventions, e.g., specific semantic, syntactic, or lexical requirements for names.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 11179 (all parts), *Information technology – Metadata registries (MDR)*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in all other parts of ISO/IEC 11179 and the following apply.

- 3.1
administered item**
registered item for which administrative information is recorded [ISO/IEC 11179-3:2012]
- 3.2
context**
setting in which a designation or definition is used [ISO/IEC 11179-3:2012]
- 3.3
designation**
representation of a concept by a sign which denotes it [ISO/IEC 11179-3:2012]
- 3.4
lexical**
pertaining to words or the vocabulary of a language as distinguished from its grammar and construction
- 3.5
name**
designation of an object by a linguistic expression [ISO/IEC 11179-3:2012]
- 3.6
name part
part of name**
discrete term that is used as part of a name of an designatable item
- 3.7
namespace**
set of designations and/or scoped identifiers for a particular business need [ISO/IEC 11179-3:2012]
- NOTE The term namespace is used in this International Standard because it is in common use, even though the concept is being applied to identifiers as well as names.
- 3.8
naming convention**
specification of how signs of designations and/or scoped identifiers are formulated [ISO/IEC 11179-3:2012]
- NOTE A naming convention can apply to scoped identifiers when they are included in the associated namespace.
- 3.9
object class term**
part of the name of an designatable item which represents the object class to which it belongs, for those designatable items containing object classes
- 3.10
principle**
fundamental, primary assertion which constitutes a source of action determining particular objectives or results

Note: a principle is usually supported through one or more rules.

3.11**property term**

part of the name of an designatable item that expresses a property of an object class, for those designatable items containing property classes

3.12**qualifier term**

word or words that help define and differentiate a concept

3.13**representation term**

designation of an instance of a representation class

3.14**rule**

statement governing conduct, procedure, conditions and/or relations

3.15**semantics**

branch of linguistic science that deals with the meanings of words

3.16**separator**

symbol or space enclosing or separating a part within a name; a delimiter

3.17**sign** (noun)

textual string or symbol that can be used to denote a concept [ISO/IEC 11179-3:2012]

3.18**structure set**

concepts in an area of discourse, with their relationships to other concepts; examples include data models, taxonomies, and ontologies

3.19**syntax**

relationships among characters or groups of characters, independent of their meanings or the manner of their interpretation and use; the structure of expressions in a language, and the rules governing the structure of a language

4 Names and identifiers within a registry

Any metadata item in a registry may be one or more of the following types [ISO/IEC 11179-3:2012]:

- *identified item*: an item that is to be retrieved directly, and therefore needs to be referenced
- *designatable item*: an identified item that is to be designated (named) and/or defined
- *classifiable item*: an item that is to be classified in a classification scheme

At least one identifier is assigned for each identified item in the registry. Concurrently, or thereafter, the item may be specified, classified, named, and registered according to the relevant part of ISO/IEC 11179.

5 Names

In Edition 3 of ISO/IEC 11179-3, *sign* has replaced *name* as the attribute of the class *Designation* that is used to designate an object or concept. A *sign* may be a word or phrase in a natural language, or an icon or other symbol. A name is considered to be language-dependent; that is, a linguistic expression. However, the product of the process of naming convention derivation may be a term composed of a single sign, a linguistic expression, or a combination of a linguistic expression and one or more signs.

As this Part of ISO/IEC 11179 discusses the classes *Namespace* and *Naming Convention* in Edition 3 of ISO/IEC 11179-3, this Part will refer to the product of a naming convention as a ***name***.

6 Naming conventions

A naming convention describes what is known about how names are formulated. A naming convention may be simply descriptive; e.g., where the Registration Authority has no control over the formulation of names for a specific context and merely registers names that already exist. Alternatively, a naming convention may be prescriptive, specifying how names shall be formulated, with the Registration Authority (or an equivalent authority) expected to enforce compliance with the naming convention. The objectives of a prescriptive naming convention may include name consistency, name appearance, and name semantics. An effective naming convention can also enforce the exclusion of irrelevant facts about the designatable item from the name, such as the input source of a data element or its field position in a file.

A naming convention may be specified in a reference document. A naming convention shall cover all relevant documentation aspects. This includes, as applicable,

- the scope of the naming convention, e.g. established industry name;
- authority information, including the authority that establishes names, namespace membership, sources such as term list or glossary;
- semantic rules governing the source and content of the terms used in a name, e.g. terms derived from data models, terms commonly used in the discipline, etc.;
- syntactic rules covering required term order;
- lexical rules covering controlled term lists, name length, character set, language;
- a rule establishing whether or not names must be unique.

7 Classes in the metamodel

7.1 Namespace Class

7.1.1 Relationship of scoped identifiers and names

In addition to the identifier assigned to identified items (clause 4), the *scoped identifier* specified in Edition 3 of ISO/IEC 11179-3 is assigned to items in a *Namespace* class. It serves to unambiguously identify items within that namespace. This scoped identifier may have a *shorthand prefix*, which can be attached to a name to identify a namespace. Examples of this usage are provided in annex A.

7.1.2 Uniqueness

There are two aspects to uniqueness that may be expressed using the metamodel constructs - the two attributes in *Namespace* class: *one name per item indicator* and *one item per name indicator*.

The *one name per item indicator* denotes whether more than one *Designation* and/or *Scoped Identifier* within the *Namespace* may be associated with any single item (*Designatable Item* and/or *Identified Item*). If the indicator is *true*, then at most one *Designation* and/or *Scoped Identifier* within the *Namespace* may be associated with any single item. [ISO/IEC 11179-3:2012]

The *one item per name indicator* denotes whether the *Namespace* may contain more than one *Designation* and/or *Scoped Identifier* having the same sign and/or identifier. If the indicator is *true*, then at most one *Designation* and/or *Scoped Identifier* having the same sign and/or identifier is permitted within the *Namespace*. [ISO/IEC 11179-3:2012]

7.2 Naming convention class

The *Naming Convention* class in Edition 3 of ISO/IEC 11179-3 provides a location in the metamodel for recording most of the rules for any particular naming convention. The uniqueness rule resides in the *Namespace* class as discussed above.

The rules contained in the *Naming Convention* class are:

- *scope rule*
- *authority rule*
- *semantic rule*
- *syntactic rule*
- *lexical rule*

These are all of the type *Text* and are meant to allow as much complexity in description as needed to describe each rule.

8 Development of naming conventions

8.1 Introduction

This clause lists principles used to develop a naming convention. Rules are derived from the principles; these rules form a naming convention. Syntactic, semantic and lexical rules vary by organizations such as corporations or standards-setting bodies for business sectors; each can establish rules for name formation within its context(s). Annexes A and B contain examples of rules for naming conventions that are consistent with the principles presented in this clause.

Descriptive naming conventions may be applied to designatable items that are not under the control of the Registration Authority for the registry, or other authority previous to entry in the registry. At a minimum, the rules for scope and authority should be documented. As appropriate, the semantic, syntactic, lexical, and uniqueness rules may be recorded.

Attached items, which are items that are administered in the registry as part of a group of items, may not have enough information to describe using all naming rules. These items may have been imported from another source. Descriptive naming conventions apply to them.

In addition to the scope and authority rules needed to document descriptive naming conventions, prescriptive conventions should be documented by semantic, syntactic, lexical, and uniqueness rules.

– Semantic rules enable meaning to be conveyed.

- Syntactic rules relate items in a consistent, specified order.
- Lexical (word form and vocabulary) rules reduce redundancy and increase precision.
- A uniqueness rule documents how to prevent homonyms occurring within the scope of the naming convention.

The examples in annexes A and B show how the names of each of the designatable items can be formed from a set of items selected from sets of available terms for the naming convention. (The names of other designatable items may be formed by rules based on those described in this part of ISO/IEC 11179.) The names of these items can be developed from the names of the items from which they are composed, each assigned meaning (semantics) and relative or absolute position (syntax) within a name. They may, but need not, be delimited by a separator symbol. The names may be subject to lexical rules. An authority, e.g., a data manager within a corporation or an approving committee for an international business sector naming standard, should control the set or range of values of each item.

8.2 Scope principle

The scope of a naming convention specifies the range within which it is in effect. In terms of the MDR, the scope of a naming convention may be as broad or narrow as the Registration Authority, or other authority, determines is appropriate. The scope should document whether the naming convention is descriptive or prescriptive.

The scope of each naming convention represented in the MDR shall be documented.

8.3 Authority principle

Identification of the authority that assigns names or enforces the naming convention is necessary for full documentation of the naming convention. This may include the ownership of the namespace, reference to terminology information such as an external glossary or thesaurus, or other source.

The Authority of each naming convention represented in the MDR shall be documented.

8.4 Semantic principle

Semantics concerns the meanings of name parts and possibly separators that delimit them. The set of semantic rules documents whether or not names convey meaning, and if so, how. Name parts may be derived from structure sets that identify relationships among (classify) members. See annexes A and B for examples of semantic rule sets.

The semantic rules of each naming convention represented in the MDR should be documented.

8.5 Syntactic principle

Syntax specifies the arrangement of parts within a name. The arrangement may be specified as relative or absolute, or some combination of the two. Relative arrangement specifies parts in terms of other parts, e.g., a rule within a convention might require that a qualifier term must always appear before the part being qualified appears. Absolute arrangement specifies a fixed occurrence of the part, e.g., a rule might require that the property term is always the last part of a name.

The syntactic rules of each naming convention represented in the MDR should be documented.

See annexes A and B for examples of syntactic rule sets.

8.6 Lexical principle

Lexical issues concern the appearance of names: preferred and non-preferred terms, synonyms, abbreviations, part length, spelling, permissible character set, case sensitivity, etc. The result of applying

lexical rules should be that all names governed by a specific naming convention have a consistent appearance.

The lexical rules of each naming convention represented in the MDR should be documented.

See annexes A and B for examples of lexical rule sets.

8.7 Uniqueness principle

There may or may not be requirements for names to be unique within their scope. The uniqueness rules for names represented in the MDR should be documented.

9 Concept system relationship to names

9.1 Concepts as higher-level classes

In the ISO/IEC 11179-3 registry metamodel, the *Concept class* is a supertype to many designatable items that can function as components of designated items and as templates for name parts. Annexes A and B describe these relationships more fully. As subtypes of concepts, *object class*, *property*, *value meaning*, and *conceptual domain* may all be derived from one or more items in a *Concept system*, which are members of a *Concept class*.

9.2 Concepts as classification system

Annex F of ISO/IEC 11179-3 describes the use of a *Concept system* to implement a classification scheme for *Representation Class*. This model may be broadened to encompass the derivation of other name parts in a naming convention.

A *Concept system* is registered with the designation appropriate for each individual part of a naming convention. Within the *Concept system*, individual concepts are registered with designations that correspond to each of the desired name terms. Relationships (associations) among concepts may be used to assist in forming rules for relationships among name parts. Annex E of ISO/IEC 11179-3 describes examples of correspondences among the ISO/IEC 11179-3 metamodel and concepts from other notations.

The *Classifiable Item* class is used to classify the data elements or other registry entities by associating them with the appropriate concept in the *Concept system*. Naming convention conformance is assured by associating the designation with the rules residing in the *Naming Convention* and *Namespace* classes.

Annex A (informative)

Example naming conventions for names within an MDR registry

A.1 General

The rules are derived from the principles described in clause 8. Examples are included. They show the formation of names within registries, and may be applied to the development of names within contexts at the discretion of the subject area authority. The complete process produces a data element name; other designatable item names are developed during the process.

These examples are written as American English. Users of other languages may specify different or additional semantic, syntactic and lexical rules as needed to customize naming conventions to their languages. For example, a rule citing an authority for spelling words within terms might be added to the lexical rules. Annex B shows examples in Asian languages.

A.2 Semantics of name parts

Name parts consist of discrete terms. The terms in this annex are derived from designatable items and other items in the MDR metamodel described in ISO/IEC 11179-3. These are: object class terms, property terms, and representation terms. As these terms are optional in the MDR metamodel, they are presented as examples of the application of semantic principles to name formation. Qualifier terms are used to further differentiate terms as necessary.

Object class term

In the MDR metamodel, an object class is a set of ideas, abstractions or things in the real world that are identified with explicit boundaries and meaning, and whose properties and behaviour follow the same rules. Each object class has a name. The registration of object classes in a registry is optional, but if used, the set of actual and potential object class names provides a taxonomy of object class terms.

An object class term may be a part of the name of the designatable items conceptual domain, data element concept and data element, and represents an activity or object in a context. Use of a modelling methodology, as for instance a model described using OWL and documented as a Concept System in the MDR, is a way to locate and discretely place designatable items in relation to their higher-level model entities.

Models provide one kind of classification scheme for designatable items. Designatable items that contain object classes may be identified with their related modelling entities by mapping the object class term to the model entity name.

In the data element names

Employee Last Name

Cost Budget Period Total Amount

Tree Height Measure

Member Last Name

the terms Employee, Cost, Tree, and Member are object class terms.

Object class terms may be used by themselves as conceptual domain names.

Property term

In the MDR metamodel, a property is a quality common to all members of an object class. Each property has a name. The registration of properties in a registry is optional, but if used, the set of actual and potential property names provides a taxonomy of property terms.

A set of property terms may be composed from a set of name parts in a property taxonomy. This set should consist of terms that are discrete (the definition of each does not overlap the definition of any other), and complete (taken together, the set represents all information concepts required for the specification of designatable items which use properties, such as data elements, data element concepts and value domains). These terms may be taken from the same Concept system as the object class terms or may be derived from a separate structure set.

In the data element names

Employee Last Name

Cost Budget Period Total Amount

Member Last Name

Tree Height Measure

the terms Last Name, Total Amount, and Height are properties.

Using terms from two structure sets provides a complementary way of categorization. Both object class and property terms of data element concepts and data elements are utilized to form a name that contains vital information about these designatable items, and also excludes extraneous or irrational elements that may be introduced when no conventions are employed. Data element concept names may be composed by combining object class terms and property terms.

Representation term

A representation term may be a part of an designatable item name that describes the form of representation of an designatable item that includes representation: data elements and value domains. Each term may be developed from a controlled word list or taxonomy. In the MDR metamodel, a Representation Class is the classification of types of representation. Each representation class has a name. The registration of representation classes in a registry is optional, but if used, the set of actual and potential representation class names provides a taxonomy of representation class terms. Annex F of ISO/IEC 11179-3 discusses using a Representation Class classification scheme as a concept system.

Representation terms categorize forms of representation such as

- Name - Amount
- Measure - Number ...
- Quantity - Text

This term describes the form of the set of valid values of an designatable item which includes representation. Often, the representation term may be redundant with part of the property term. When this occurs, one term or part of one term may be eliminated in a structured name. This can be established as a rule in a naming convention.

Using the above rules, a data element describing a measurement of the height of a tree would have the data element name Tree Height Measure. The word Measure is the data element's representation term. However, a data element that describes the last name of a person would have the data element name of Person Last Name Name. The second word Name is the data element's representation term. However, to promote clarity, one occurrence of the redundant word is removed.

Qualifier term

Qualifier terms may be attached to object class terms, property terms, and representation terms if necessary to distinguish one data element concept, conceptual domain, data element, or data value domain from another. These qualifier terms may be derived from structure sets specific to a context. In the rules for a naming convention, a restriction in the number of qualifier terms is recommended.

For example, in the data element name

Cost Budget Period Total Amount

the term Budget Period is a qualifier term.

NOTE Limitations in the form of permitted terms of qualifiers help reduce redundancy and increase incidence of data reuse by eliminating synonyms. This applies also to object class terms, property terms, and representation terms. A mechanism such as a thesaurus of terms facilitates this effort.

Semantics of separators

Various kinds of punctuation connect name parts, including separators such as spaces and hyphens, and grouping symbols such as parentheses. These may have:

- a) No semantic meaning. A naming rule may state that separators will consist of one blank space or exactly one special character (for example a hyphen or underscore) regardless of semantic relationships of parts. Such a rule simplifies name formation.
- b) Semantic meaning. Separators can convey semantic meaning by, for example, assigning a different separator between words in the qualifier term from the separator that separates words in the other part terms. In this way, the separator identifies the qualifier term clearly as different from the rest of the name.

For example, in the data element name

Cost_Budget-Period_Total_Amount

the separator between words in the qualifier term is a hyphen; other name parts are separated by underscores.

Some languages, such as German and Dutch, commonly join grammatical constructs together in a single word (resulting in one word which in English or French might be a phrase consisting of nouns and adjectives). These languages could use a separator that is not a break between words, such as a hyphen, space or underscore, but instead capitalize the first letter of each name part within a single word (sometimes called CamelCase). This naming convention is also commonly used in programming languages such as C++ and Java.

Asian languages often form words using two characters which, separately, have different meanings, but when joined together have a third meaning unrelated to its parts. This may pose a problem in the interpretation of a name because ambiguity may be created by the juxtaposition of characters. A possible solution is to use one separator to distinguish when two characters form a single word, and another when they are individual words.

The following table indicates which name parts are combined to form administrative item names. See A.5 for examples of designatable items with related names.

Table A.1 — Relationship of name parts to designatable item names

	Conceptual Domains	Data Element Concepts	Data Elements	Value Domains
Object Class Terms	X	X	X	
Property Terms		X	X	
Representation Terms			X	X
Qualifier Terms	X	X	X	X

A.3 Example of complete rule sets for naming conventions

These rules implement the semantics described above and combine them with other rules based on principles from clause 8. They may be documented in the Naming Convention and Namespace classes of the metamodel.

Example rule sets for registry names

Name: MDR Registry Name

Context: Registry preferred name

Scope: MDR Example Registry

Authority: ISO/IEC SC 32/WG 2; XYZ Namespace Abbreviation List

Semantic rules:

- a) Object classes represent things of interest in a universe of discourse that may, for instance, be found in a model of that universe.

EXAMPLE Cost

- b) One and only one object class term shall be present.

- c) Property terms shall be derived from the property system structure set and represent a quality of the object class.

EXAMPLE Total Amount

- d) One and only one property term shall be present.

NOTE The combination of object class term and property term forms the names for data element concepts.

- e) Qualifiers may be derived as determined by the subject area authority and will be added as needed to make the name unique within a specified context. The order of the qualifier terms is not significant. Qualifier terms are optional.

EXAMPLE Budget Period

- f) The representation of the valid value set of a data element or value domain is described by the representation term.

- g) One and only one representation term shall be present.

EXAMPLE Amount

NOTE Representation terms, usually with added qualifiers, form value domain names.

Syntactic rules:

- a) The object class term shall occupy the first (leftmost) position in the name.
- b) Qualifier terms shall precede the part qualified. The order of qualifiers shall not be used to differentiate names.
- c) The property term shall occupy the next position.
- d) The representation term shall occupy the last position. If any word in the representation term is redundant with any word in the property term, one occurrence will be deleted.

EXAMPLE Cost Budget Period Total Amount

Lexical rules:

- a) Nouns are used in singular form only. Verbs (if any) are in the present tense.
- b) Name parts and words in multi-word terms are separated by spaces. No special characters are allowed.
- c) All words in the name are in mixed case. The rules of "mixed case" are defined by the RA. These rules may be different for different parts of the designatable item name (object class, property, representation class).
- d) Abbreviations, acronyms, and initialisms are allowed. Use the abbreviations in the XYZ Namespace Abbreviation List.

EXAMPLE Cost Budget Period Total Amount

Uniqueness rule:

All names in each language shall be unique within this context.

A.4 Example rule sets for data element names within XML tags

"XML namespaces provide a simple method for qualifying element and attribute names used in Extensible Markup Language documents by associating them with namespaces identified by IRI references." [Namespaces in XML 1.1, W3C Recommendation 16 August 2006] This is done by assigning a *prefix* to the element and attribute names. The following example shows how this rule may be applied within a naming convention.

These rules are derived from the principles described in Clause 8. The first example differs from the rules described above only in the application of XML-specific lexical restrictions.

Name: XML tag name

Context: XML schema for reports

Scope: All element and attribute names in XML DTD's

Authority: XML data administrator

Semantic rules:

- a) Object classes represent things of interest in a universe of discourse that may, for instance, be found in a model of that universe.

EXAMPLE Cost

- b) One and only one object class term shall be present.
- c) Property terms shall be derived from the property system structure set and represent a quality of the object class.

EXAMPLE Total Amount

- d) One and only one property term shall be present.
- e) Qualifiers may be derived as determined by the subject area authority and will be added as needed to make the name unique within a specified context. The order of the qualifier terms is not significant. Qualifier terms are optional.

EXAMPLE Budget Period

- f) The representation of the valid value set of the data element is described by the representation term.
- g) One and only one representation term shall be present.

EXAMPLE Amount

Syntactic rules:

- a) The object class term shall occupy the first (leftmost) position in the name.
- b) Qualifier terms shall precede the part qualified. The order of qualifiers shall not be used to differentiate data element names.
- c) The property term shall occupy the next position.
- d) The representation term shall occupy the last position. If any word in the representation term is redundant with any word in the property term, one occurrence will be deleted.

EXAMPLE Cost Budget Period Total Amount

Lexical rules:

- a) Nouns are used in singular form only, unless the concept itself is plural. Verbs (if any) are in the present tense.
- b) Name parts are separated by capitalizing the first character of the second thru *n*th word.
- c) All words in the name are in mixed case.
- d) Abbreviations, acronyms, and initialisms are allowed only when used normally within business terms.
- e) Words contain letters and numbers only.

EXAMPLE CostBudgetPeriodTotalAmount

Uniqueness rule:

All names shall be unique within a DTD.

Usage Examples

In this example, a data element name is used in an XML element tag

< !ELEMENT CostBudgetPeriodTotalAmount (#PCDATA) >

An additional example can be developed to show the use of prefixes to identify the namespace to which an XML schema element or attribute is assigned.

An additional semantic rule is defined:

h) Not more than one namespace indicator shall be present.

An additional syntactic rule is defined:

e) If a namespace indicator is used, it shall precede all other terms.

And an additional lexical rule is defined:

f) A namespace indicator is in lowercase and is separated from the other terms by a colon.

Example of an XML name using a namespace indicator:

< !ELEMENT acct:CostBudgetPeriodTotalAmount >

A.5 Attributed example of a data element

The table below presents an attributed example of a data element with all designatable item derivations named. Specifically, this data element is derived from:

data element concept: **Country Identifier**

composed of occurrences of the

conceptual domain: **Country**

and its

value domain: **ISO English-Language Country Short Name.**

Three names are provided for this data element example:

(a) **Country Mailing Address Name**, the registry name:

(b) **Country.Mailing_Address.Name**, a name that appears in an application system, the convention for which requires separators with semantic meaning,

(c) **addr:CountryMailingAddressName**, an XML Schema name, which employs a prefix identifying the namespace in which the name is included.

Semantic, syntactic and lexical rules are documented using the Naming Convention class of the metamodel.

Metadata Attribute Name		Attribute Value
1	Data Element Definition	
a	Data Element (DE) Definition Context	Registry
	DE Definition	The name of the country where a mail piece is delivered.
b	DE Definition Context	Facility Data System
	DE Definition	The name of a country where the addressee is located.
c	DE Definition Context	XML Address Schema
	DE Definition	The name of the country in an address.
2	Permissible Values and Value Domain	
	Permitted Values (each PV)	All English-language short country names from ISO 3166, matched with value meanings. (recorded as: Afghanistan, Albania,....., Zimbabwe)
	PV Begin Date (each PV)	19970110
	PV End Date (each PV)	(Not applicable)
	Value Domain (VD) Context	Registry
	VD Entry Name	ISO English-Language Country Short Name
	VD Definition	All short, ISO-recognized English-language names of all countries.
	VD Description	(Not applicable)
	VD Entry Identifier	{RAI} 5678:1
	Datatype	CHARACTER VARYING
	Datatype Scheme Reference	ANSI ISO SQL
	Maximum Characters	44
	Format	(Not applicable)
	Unit of Measure	(Not applicable)
	Precision	(Not applicable)
	VD Origin	ISO 3166-1:2006
	VD Explanatory Comment	The value domain includes only the subset of names that designate countries; it does not include names of territories.
3	Representation Class (RC) Classification System Attributes	
	RC Classification System Name	ISO/IEC 11179-3 Annex F Specification
	Representation Class Term	Name
	Representation Class Qualifier	Short

Metadata Attribute Name		Attribute Value
4	Data Element Name (Designation) and Identifier	
a	DE Name	Country Mailing Address Name
	DE Name Context	Registry
	Naming Convention (NC) Name	Registry Preferred Naming Convention
	NC Rules	<Rule set, excluding uniqueness rules>
	Namespace (NS) Authority	Registry Administrator
	NS Uniqueness (one name per item)	One name per item is true
	NS Uniqueness (one item per name)	One item per name is true
	NS NC Obligation	All names in this NS must conform to this NC.
b	DE Name	Country.Mailing_Address.Name
	DE Name Context	Facility Data System
	NC Name	Facility Data System Naming Convention
	NC Rules	<Rule set, excluding uniqueness rules>
	Namespace (NS) Authority	Facility Data System Administrator
	NS Uniqueness (one name per item)	One name per item is true
	NS Uniqueness (one item per name)	One item per name is true
	NS NC Obligation	All names in this NS must conform to this NC.
c	DE Name	addr:CountryAddressMailingName
	DE Name Context	XML Address Schema
	NC Name	XML Schema Naming Convention
	NC Rules	<Rule set, excluding uniqueness rules>
	Namespace (NS) Authority	System XYZ Administrator
	NS Uniqueness (one name per item)	One name per item is true
	NS Uniqueness (one item per name)	One item per name is true
	NS NC Obligation	All names in this NS must conform to this NC.
	NS Prefix	addr:
	NS Scheme Reference	http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xml-names-19990114/
	DE Entry Identifier	{RAI} 5394:1
5	Other Data Element Attributes	
	DE Example	Denmark
	DE Origin	Application system
	DE Comment	This data element is required for delivery of mail outside the country of origin.
	Submitting organization	Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance
	Stewardship Contact	Facility Data Systems Administrator
6	Data Element Concept and Conceptual Domain	
	Data Element Concept (DEC) Context	Registry

Metadata Attribute Name		Attribute Value
	DEC Name	Country Identifier
	DEC Definition	An identifier for a primary geopolitical entity of the world.
	Object Class	Country
	Property	Identifier
	DEC Entry Identifier	{RAI}12468:1
	Conceptual Domain (CD) Context	Registry
	CD Name	Country
	CD Definition	The primary geopolitical entities of the world.
	CD Entry Identifier	{RAI} 2468:1
	CD Origin	ISO 3166:1
	Value Meaning (for each VM)	The primary geopolitical entity known as <China>
	VM Begin Date (for each VM)	19970110
	VM End Date (for each VM)	(Not applicable)
	VM Identifier (for each VM)	<Assigned by system as 1001...1230: one to each VM>
7	Concept Scheme Examples	Classification Values for Concept Type
	Keyword	Country, Address, Mailing
	Group	Mailing Address
	Object	Address, Country
	Concept Scheme Membership Level	Specialization
8	Registration and Administrative Status	
	DE Registration Status	Recorded
	DE Administrative Status	In Quality Review
	VD Registration Status	Standard
	VD Administrative Status	Final
	DEC Registration Status	Recorded
	DEC Administrative Status	In Quality Review
	CD Registration Status	Standard
	CD Administrative Status	Final

Annex B (informative)

Example naming conventions for Asian languages

These rules are derived from the principles in clause 8. Examples described here are the same as in annex A.

Asian language often form words using two characters which, separately, have different meanings, but when joined together have a third meaning unrelated to its parts. This may pose a problem in the interpretation of a name because ambiguity may be created by the juxtaposition of characters.

These examples are written as American English, Japanese, Korean and Chinese.

Semantic rules:

- a. Object classes represent things of interest in a universe of discourse that may, for instance, be found in a model of that universe.

Example:

-English: Cost

-Japanese: 経費

-Korean: 비용

-Chinese: 成本

- b. One and only one object class term shall be present.

- c. Property terms shall be derived from the property system structure set and represent the category of the data.

Example:

-English: Total Amount

-Japanese: 総計

-Korea: 총합계

-Chinese: 总金额

- d. One and only one property term shall be present.

Note: The combination of object class term and property term forms the names for data element concepts.

- e. Qualifiers may be derived as determined by the subject area authority and will be added as needed to make the name unique within a specified context. The order of the qualifier terms is not significant. Qualifier terms are optional.

Example:

-English: Budget Period

-Japanese: 予算期間

-Korea: 예산기간

-Chinese: 预算期间

- f. The representation of the valid value set of a data element or value domain is described by the representation term.

- g. One and only one representation term shall be present.

Example:

-English: Amount

-Japanese: 計

-Korean: 합계

-Chinese: 金额

Note: Representation terms, usually with added qualifiers, form value domain names.

Syntactic rules:

- a. The object class term shall occupy the first (leftmost) position in the name.
- b. Qualifier terms shall precede the part qualified. The order of qualifiers shall not be used to differentiate name.
- c. The property term shall occupy the next position.
- d. The representation term shall occupy the last position. If any word in the representation term is redundant with any word in the property term, one occurrence will be deleted.

Example:

-English: Cost Budget Period Total Amount

-Japanese: 経費予算期間総計 or 経費・予算期間・総計

-Korean: 예산기간비용합계

-Chinese: 成本预算期间总金额

Lexical rules:

- a. Nouns are used in singular form only. Verbs (if any) are in the present tense.

Note: In Japanese, this rule shall not be applied because of no plural form of nouns and no distinction of verb tense.

- b. Name items and words in multi-word terms are separated by spaces. No special characters are allowed.

Note: In Japanese, as multi-word terms are naturally expressed without spaces, any characters shall not be allowed or a dot character may be used for separating those terms.

- c. All words in the name are in mixed case.

Note: In Japanese, all words in the name are in mixed case of Zen-kaku and Han-kaku.

- d. Abbreviations, acronyms, and initialisms are allowed.

Example:

-English: Cost Budget Period Total Amount

-Japanese: 経費予算期間総計 or 経費・予算期間・総計

-Korean: 예산기간비용합계

-Chinese: 成本预算期间总金额

Uniqueness rule:

All names in each language shall be unique within this context.