

# ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 N 1622

Date: 2007-07-15

REPLACES: —

## ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32

### Data Management and Interchange

Secretariat: United States of America (ANSI)  
Administered by Farance Inc. on behalf of ANSI

<b>DOCUMENT TYPE</b>	Summary of Voting/Table of Replies
<b>TITLE</b>	Summary of Voting on ISO/IEC CD3 20944-1 Information technology - Metadata Registry Interoperability and Bindings (MDR-IB) Framework, common vocabulary, and common provisions for conformance
<b>SOURCE</b>	SC32 Secretariat
<b>PROJECT NUMBER</b>	1.32.17.01.01.00
<b>STATUS</b>	WG2 is requested to resolve the comments
<b>REFERENCES</b>	
<b>ACTION ID.</b>	ACT
<b>REQUESTED ACTION</b>	
<b>DUE DATE</b>	
<b>Number of Pages</b>	9
<b>LANGUAGE USED</b>	English
<b>DISTRIBUTION</b>	P & L Members SC Chair WG Conveners and Secretaries

Dr. Timothy Schoechle, Secretary, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32  
Farance Inc \*, 3066 Sixth Street, Boulder, CO, United States of America  
Telephone: +1 303-443-5490; E-mail: [Timothy@Schoechle.org](mailto:Timothy@Schoechle.org)  
available from the JTC 1/SC 32 WebSite <http://www.jtc1sc32.org/>  
\*Farance Inc. administers the ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 Secretariat on behalf of ANSI

## ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 N1622

### Summary of Voting on Document SC 32 N 1569

**Title:** ISO/IEC CD3 20944-1 Information technology - Metadata Registry Interoperability and Bindings (MDR-IB) Framework, common vocabulary, and common provisions for conformance

Project: 1.32.17.01.01.00

<b>“P” Member</b>	<b>Approval</b>	<b>Approval with Comments</b>	<b>Disapproval with Comments</b>	<b>Abstention with Comments</b>
Australia				
Belgium				
Brazil				
Canada			1	
China	1			
Czech Republic	1			
Egypt				
Finland				
Germany				1
Japan			1	
Korea, Republic of	1			
Portugal				
Sweden				
United Kingdom			1	
United States			1	
<b>Total “P”</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>“O” Member</b>				
Austria				
Denmark				
France				
Italy				
Netherlands, The				
Norway				
Russian Federation				
Switzerland				
<b>Total “O”</b>				

Dr. Timothy Schoechle, Secretary, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32  
Farance Inc \*, 3066 Sixth Street, Boulder, CO, United States of America  
Telephone: +1 303-443-5490; E-mail: [Timothy@Schoechle.org](mailto:Timothy@Schoechle.org)  
available from the JTC 1/SC 32 WebSite <http://www.jtc1sc32.org/>

\*Farance Inc. administers the ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 Secretariat on behalf of ANSI

## **COMMENTS:**

### **Canada**

No. See attached comments

### **Germany**

Abstain. Lack of expertise and interest.

### **Japan**

No. See attached comments

### **United Kingdom**

No. See attached comments

### **United States**

No. See attached comments

## Approved Canadian Comments on SC32 N1569 CD3 20944-1

### Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2007-06-27

Document: ISO/IEC CD3 20944-1

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
CA 01	1.1	Footnote 1	Ed	The terms " <i>data interoperability</i> " and " <i>metadata interoperability</i> " are used in a footnote to clause 1. Since these concepts are fundamental to this family of standards, the terms and their definitions should be included in clause 3. <b>(Comment from previous two ballots still not applied.)</b>	Add definitions of " <i>data interoperability</i> " and " <i>metadata interoperability</i> " to clause 3.	
CA 02	1.2.1	para 1	Te	The document states: it is <i>impossible to determine, independent of context and relationships</i> , that any piece of data is actually also metadata. The implication is: metadata interchange is no different than data interchange.  We disagree with the stated implication. On the contrary, the implication is that if metadata interchange is treated as no different from data interchange, then the associated context and relationships will be lost, and you will end up exchanging data only, and not metadata.  We do not propose that this standard do anything differently, other than to provide a clear statement that users be aware of this implication.	Replace the sentence that begins 'The implication is' by: 'The implications are: (1) because metadata is data, it can be exchanged like other data, but (2) to remain metadata, the exchange must include the associated context and relationships.'	
CA 03	1.3	Para 1. line 1	ed	A space is missing after 'Part 1' at the beginning of the second sentence.	Insert the missing space.	
CA 04	3	All	ed	In Bugzilla issue <a href="#">268</a> Canada provided WG2 N0945, a partial list of terms defined in clause 3 that are not used and should therefore be deleted. We have not yet had the opportunity to complete this exercise, nor to review which of these recommendations have still to be acted on.	Canada will submit a revised list for consideration, either to the editing meeting, or in response to the FCD ballot.	
CA 05	3.12.5	subclause title	ed	The sub-clause title 'Data network' is duplicated from the previous sub-clause. The title of this sub-clause should be 'User facilities'. [This is comment from the last <b>two</b> ballots that has still not been applied!]	Change the sub-clause title to 'User facilities'	

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2007-06-27

Document: ISO/IEC CD3 20944-1

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
CA 06	6.2	para 1	ed	The first paragraph of sub-clause 6.2 is identical to the first paragraph of sub-clause 6.1. [This comment is Bugzilla issue <a href="#">270</a> .]	Remove the duplication, and rewrite as necessary. The simplest solution is to delete para 1 of 6.2, but this may not be the best solution.	
CA 07	6.2	various	ed	In the example code, all uses of the prefix 'mdib' should be 'mdrib'. [This comment is Bugzilla issue <a href="#">271</a> .]	Change all instances of mdib to mdrib.	
CA 08	6.3	Example	ed	In line 2 of the example 'fro' s/b 'from'	Change 'fro' to 'from'.	



## ISO

International Organization for Standardization

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32  
Data Management and Interchange  
WG 2  
Metadata

**Title:** Japan Ballot Comments on ISO/IEC CD3 20944-1

**Status:** Document to accompany ballot response

**Author:** Hajime Horiuchi

**References:**

[1] 32N1569, ISO/IEC CD 20944-1, Information technology — Metadata Registries Interoperability and Bindings(MDR-IB) Framework, common vocabulary, and common provisions for conformance

## ISO/IEC JTC1/SC32/WG2

SEQ #	Cmnt ID	See Also	Severity	Reference	Description	Addressed By
<b>ISO/IEC 2<sup>nd</sup> CD 19763-1</b>						
<b>001</b>	JPN-P01-001		General	<i>General</i>	<p>This project consolidation and its CD ballot resolution are grounded on only the WG2 resolutions at Huntsville, which have not yet authorized by the SC32 level resolution.</p> <p>So, this consolidated project is not yet initiated and cannot go to the CD stage. So, this CD ballot is void.</p> <p>See 6.2.2.1 and Item 3, 12.5.7, ISO/IEC JTC1 N8105 ISO/IEC JTC 1 Directives, 5th Edition, Version 1.0</p>	
<b>002</b>	JPN-P01-002		General	<i>General</i>	<p>32N1569B-ballot for CD3 24944-1 says "Date of circulation: 2007-04-20, Closing date: 2007-07-15".</p> <p>However, 9.4.1, ISO/IEC JTC1 N8105 ISO/IEC JTC 1 Directives, 5th Edition, Version 1.0 says "In the case of CDs/PDAMs/PDISPs/PDTRs, this date should be no less than three months from the date of notification of issue."</p> <p>So, the closing date shall be after 2007-07-20.</p>	

End of Paper

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 22 June 2007

Document: ISO/IEC CD3 20944-1

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
GB	General		ge	This CD is not structured according to ISO/IEC drafting rules.		
GB	1.2, 1.4		ed	It is not appropriate for this material to be in a Scope clause; it should either be in the body of the standard or in an Annex.		
GB	3		ed	Many of the definitions included in this clause are in common use and do not, therefore, need to be included in this draft standard.		

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

<b>US Comments on CD3 Text For: ISO/IEC 20944, Information technology — Metadata Registries Interoperability and Bindings (MDR-IB) — Part 1: Framework, common vocabulary, and common provisions for conformance</b>	Date: 2007-06-13	Document: <b>xxx</b>
--	------------------	----------------------

0	1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
#	NB 1	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note #	Com- ment type <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the NB	Proposed change by the NB	Proposed Disposition of Comments

0001	US	3.16.1		te	The term datatype is defined twice in 3.16.1. Neither definition is sourced.		
0002	US	3.20.12.20		te	The notes under 3.20.12.20 appear misplaced.		
0003	US	3		te	The term session (used in definitions 3.6.1.28-29 and in clause 6.2) is not defined—neither here, nor in Part 3.		
0004	US	6.2		ed	Typo, product->produce		

1 NB = National body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the Secretariat editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory. ISO electronic balloting commenting template (enhanced 2002-08)